# Effects of different thawing methods on fatty acid composition of cultured sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* Linnaeus, 1758)

Farklı çözündürme yöntemlerinin kültür levreği (*Dicentrarchus labrax* Linnaeus, 1758)'nin yağ asidi kompozisyonu üzerine etkisi

## Cansu Metin<sup>1\*</sup> ● Yunus Alparslan<sup>2</sup> ● Zerrin Ekşi<sup>3</sup> ● Taçnur Baygar<sup>4</sup>

- $^{\rm I}$  Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Faculty of Fisheries, Department of Seafood Processing Technology, 48000 Muğla, Türkiye
- $^{2}$  Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Faculty of Fisheries, Department of Seafood Processing Technology, 48000 Muğla, Türkiye
- <sup>3</sup> SÜRSAN A.Ş., 48670 Milas / Muğla, Türkiye
- <sup>4</sup> Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Faculty of Fisheries, Department of Seafood Processing Technology, 48000 Muğla Türkiye
- https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2290-1489
- https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8833-996X
- https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7268-6169
- https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8070-0653

Accepted date: 18.07.2022

\*Corresponding author: cansumetin@mu.edu.tr

#### How to cite this paper:

Metin, C., Alparsian, Y., Ekşi, Z., & Baygar, T. (2022). Effects of different thawing methods on fatty acid composition of cultured sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* Linnaeus, 1758). Ege Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 39(3), 206-214. DOI: 10.12714/egeifas.39.3.05

Received date: 27.04.2022

Abstract: This study aimed to determine the potential changes in the fatty acid composition of frozen cultured sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) thawed at different environmental conditions. Sea bass fillets were thawed using four different methodologies: refrigerator (+4°C), water (+15°C), microwave (defrost mode) and ambient conditions (22±2°C). Some part of the fish was thawed once (on the 7th and 30th days), and the other part was thawed twice (on the 30th day). Thus, crude lipid analysis and fatty acid composition by gas chromatography were carried out in the thawed sea bass fillets. The results showed negative effects on the fatty acid composition caused by the different thawing methods. The most suitable thawing method was determined as refrigerator thawing, especially on the 30th day 1st thawing according to least loss of the lipid values (9.19±0.18%) and unsaturated fatty acids (C18:2 25.92±0.13%, C20:5 5.56±0.02%, C22:6n-3 8.90±0.09%, ∑PUFA 44.70±0.04%). Samples thawed in water and ambient conditions follow the refrigerator thawing method in terms of lipid and fatty acid loss was observed in microwave thawing. Although the samples thawed in the refrigerator were better than the other groups in terms of lipid content and fatty acid composition, it is recommended to the consumers that frozen foods should be thawed only once and consumed immediately, and that fish should be frozen according to their needs and thaw as much as they can consume.

Keywords: Sea bass, Dicentrarchus labrax, freezing, thawing, fatty acid composition

Öz: Bu çalışmada, dondurma ve farklı ortam şartlarında çözündürme işlemi uygulanan kültür levrek balığının (*Dicentrarchus labrax* Linnaeus, 1758) yağ asidi kompozisyonunda meydana gelen değişimlerin tespiti amaçlanmıştır. Levrek balığı filetoları, buzdolabı (+4°C), su (+15°C), mikrodalga (buz çözme modu) ve ortam şartları (22±2°C)'nda olmak üzere dört farklı ortamda çözündürülmüştür. Balıkların bir kısmı bir (7. ve 30. günde), diğer kısmı ise iki kez (30. günde) çözündürülmüştür. Çözündürülen levrek balığı filetolarında ham yağ ve yağ asitleri kompozisyonu analizleri gerçekleştirilmiştir. Tüm grupların yağ asidi kompozisyonu gaz kromatografi cihazı kullanılarak belirlenmiştir. Elde edilen bulgular farklı ortamlarda birden fazla çözündürmenin yağ asitleri kompozisyonu üzerinde olumsuz etkilere sebep olduğunu ortaya koymuştur. Uygulanan çözündürme tekniklerinden en uygun çözündürme ortamının özellikle 30. gün ilk çözündürme günü ele alındığında yağ değerleri (%9,19±0,18) ve doymamış yağ asitleri sonuçlarında (C18:2 %25,92±0,13, C20:5 %5,56±0,02, C22:6n-3 %8,90±0,09, ∑PUFA %44,70±0,04) en az kayıp görülmesinden dolayı buzdolabında çözündürme yöntemin olduğu sonucuna varılmıştır. Suda ve ortam koşullarında çözündürülen örnekler yağ ve yağ asitleri sonuçları bakımından buzdolabında çözündürme yöntemini takip etmektedir. Yağ ve yağ asidi miktarında en fazla kayıp mikrodalga çözündürme yönteminde gözlenmiştir. Buzdolabında çözündürülen örnekler her ne kadar yağ miktarı ve yağ asitleri kompozisyonu açısından diğer gruplara göre daha iyi olsalar bile tüketicilere dondurulmuş gıdaların sadece bir kere çözündürülerek hemen tüketilmeleri gerektiği ve ihtiyaçları oranında balıkları dondurup, yiyebilecekleri kadar miktarları çözündürmeleri önerilmektedir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Levrek, Dicentrarchus labrax, dondurma, çözündürme, yağ asidi kompozisyonu

#### INTRODUCTION

Seafood is an increasingly important food both in the world and in Turkey. One of the most important factors that determine the quality of food is its digestibility and utilization of its proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, vitamins and minerals by our body. Fish are important food sources with these characteristics. Fish meat is a recommended meal by all health and nutrition experts

(Metli, 2006; Murray and Burt, 2011; Chen et al., 2022). Fish have functional effects due to their richness in polyunsaturated fatty acids. As a result of several researches, it has been concluded that dietary supplements containing fish are beneficial in the treatment of many diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, ulcerative colitis and hyperlipidemia

(Chen et al., 2022). The consumption amount of fresh and processed seafood in Turkey is quite low, especially compared to European countries. However, animal protein intake is insufficient in our country. Fish meat and other seafood are important for human nutrition due to their contents of both high-quality protein and especially long-chain unsaturated fatty acids (Gülyavuz and Ünlüsayın, 1999; Anonymous, 2019). In recent years, the Republic of Turkey Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry has been taking steps to increase aquaculture production and export. The main products of our aquaculture exports are sea bass, seabream, rainbow trout and tuna. A large part of our export consists of fresh and chilled fish (Anonymous, 2014).

Freezing is one of the most preferred methods to store fish and other seafood. Although low temperatures protect the food shelf life and quality, incorrect applications can cause negative effects on the quality. If the necessary conditions are not followed, quality losses may occur in fish tissue due to physical, chemical and enzymatic changes, which adversely affect the consumer's preferences (Abraha et al., 2018). The factors mostly causing these quality losses can be storage temperature, freezing and thawing times, temperature changes, incorrect freezing and thawing processes. Various studies demonstrated that multiple freezing and thawing processes lead to physiological and biochemical damage in muscle systems, such as nutrient loss, lipid oxidation, protein denaturation, and hydrolysis (Wu et al., 2021). Food preservation methods aiming to offer fish to consumers under healthier and hygienic conditions can protect the products. Although this, quality losses especially in terms of physical and sensory characteristics may occur in these products due to incorrect applications of the consumers. Bozkir et al. (2014) stated that thawing foods using traditional methods may have some negative effects such as microorganisms development or oxidation (and accordingly color changes). Although the negative effects of traditional methods, consumers use these thawing methods at home in order to save time and consume the products quickly (Konak et al., 2009).

The fact that unconscious storage processes in small businesses and fish shops have a negative effect on the quality of fish is one of the most important reasons why consumers avoid to buy fish. This study aimed to determine the effects of incorrect/unconscious freezing and different thawing processes on the fatty acid composition of sea bass, a species highly consumed in our country and in the world and of very high economic value. Thus, the study evaluated the changes in the amount of lipid and fatty acid composition occurring during the thawing of the fish in different conditions (refrigerator, ambient conditions, water and microwave) after the storage process in the -18°C freezer, which is frequently used in homes and plants.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Study area and stations

Twenty kg of sea bass (50 fish) with an average weight of 350±20 g and lenght of 30±3 cm, farmed in offshore cage systems in Milas, Bodrum (Turkey), were used for the experiments. Samples were taken from the fish farms in Milas, Bodrum, and brought to Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Faculty of Fisheries, Quality Control Laboratory within 1 hour in cold chain conditions with styrofoam boxes in ice.

#### Methods

The sea bass samples were divided into groups of 5 and stored in refrigerator bags at -18°C. Lipid of fish was extracted before the fresh fish were taken into storage, and methylation was performed to determine the initial fatty acid composition. After 7 days of storage, fish were thawed (7th day 1st thaw) in four different conditions (refrigerator (4±1°C), ambient conditions (22±2°C), water (15±2°C) and microwave (defrost mode). Lipid and fatty acid analyses were applied to some of the thawed fish, and the remaining sea bass were re-frozen. After 30 days of storage, this re-frozen fish group (30th day 2nd thaw) and the fish group that stored for a month (30th day 1st thaw) were thawed with these four different thawing methods. After the 30th day thawing processes, lipid was extracted and the fatty acid composition was determined using gas chromatography for the groups of 30th day thawing.

## Crude lipid analysis

The samples were analyzed in triplicate for lipid content following the Bligh and Dyer (1959)'s method. A mixture of methanol and chloroform (1:2, v/v, 100 ml) was added to 5 g of the fish sample (fish/solvent, 1:20, w/v) and homogenized. Then, 20 ml of 0.4% CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution was added onto the samples. The samples were filtered on a filter paper into the tared balloons kept in the oven at 105°C for 2 h. These balloons were kept in a dark environment overnight and the upper layer consisting of methanol+water was separated with the help of a separation funnel. Chloroform from the chloroform+lipid part in the solution remaining in the flask was evaporated using a rotary evaporator (Heidolph) with the help of a water bath set at 60°C. At the end of the method, balloons were kept in the oven at 60°C in order to remove the remaining solvent, then they were kept in a desiccator for 30 min and their final weighings were taken after cooling as following:

Yield of crude lipid = [ (Final weight - Initial weight) / Sample weight ] x 100

## Fatty acids analysis

The methyl esters of lipid from the samples were prepared by transmethylation using gas chromatography-flame ionizing detector (GC-FID) according to the method described by Ichihara et al. (1996). 25 mg of extracted oil was dissolved in 2 ml isooctane, followed by addition of 4 ml of 2 M KOH (in methanol). Then, the tube was vortexed for 2 min at room temperature. Separation into methyl esters was performed in

triplicate for each sample. After centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 10 min, the isooctane layer was taken for gas chromatography (GC) analysis.

#### Gas chromatography (GC) conditions

The fatty acid methyl esters were analyzed using gas chromatography of Agilent Technologies model 7820 equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and fitted with an HP-88 capillary column (60 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm thickness). Helium was used as the carrier gas at a constant pressure of 16 psi. Injection port was maintained at 220°C, and the sample was injected in split mode with a split ratio of 50:1. During the analysis, detector temperature was 280°C. Column temperature was started at 175°C, and then programmed at 3°C/min to 220°C, ramped at 1°C/min to 220°C, and held for 10 min. The total running time was 26 min. Helium was used as the make up gas at a constant flow of 40 ml/min, and hydrogen and dry air were used as detector gases. Identification of fatty acids was carried out by comparing sample fatty acids methyl esters (FAME) peak relative retention times with those obtained for Supelco standards (Supelco 37 Compounds FAME mix 10 mg/l in CH<sub>2</sub> Cl<sub>2</sub>-47,885 U, Supelco 1819-1 Ampule FAME mix C4-C24). The results were expressed as percentage of total fatty acid methyl esters (ISO, 1990).

### Statistical analysis

All experiments were carried out in triplicate and the results were reported as mean and standard deviation of measurements. Statistics on a completely randomized design were performed with the analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS (Version 21, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software. Tukey's multiple range test (p<0.05) was used to detect differences among mean values of all test intervals.

#### **RESULTS**

#### **Crude Lipid Analysis Results**

The % lipid analysis results of the fresh material, multiple freezed/thawed and only once freezed/thawed sea bass were reported in Table 1. While the lipid content was 10.29% in fresh sea bass (day 0), a decrease was observed in the lipid content of fish thawed more than once in different mediums (p<0.05). In the refrigerator, a decrease was observed on the 7<sup>th</sup> day 1<sup>st</sup> thawing and on the 30<sup>th</sup> day 2<sup>nd</sup> thawing with 9.19% and 8.67%, respectively. Also in other thawing mediums, decreases were observed on the 30<sup>th</sup> day 2<sup>nd</sup> thawing compared to the 7<sup>th</sup> day 1<sup>st</sup> thawing. The highest lipid loss was observed in the microwave thawing method on the 30<sup>th</sup> day 1<sup>st</sup> thawing.

**Table 1.** Lipid analysis results of sea bass thawed in different conditions (%)

	Thawing Medium			
Thawing Time	Refrigerator	Microwave	Water	Ambient Conditions
Day 0	10.29±0.85 <sup>aA</sup>	10.29±0.85 <sup>a</sup>	10.29±0.85 <sup>aA</sup>	10.29±0.85 <sup>aA</sup>
Day 7 (1st Thawing)	9.19±0.08 <sup>aB</sup>	8.18±0.05bBC	9.53±0.44 <sup>aB</sup>	9.48±0.36aB
Day 30 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Thawing)	8.67±0.07 <sup>aC</sup>	8.87±0.48 <sup>aB</sup>	8.38±0.01 <sup>bC</sup>	8.23±0.05 <sup>bC</sup>
Day 30 (1st Thawing)	9.19±0.18 <sup>bB</sup>	7.46±0.57 <sup>cC</sup>	8.87±0.34 <sup>bC</sup>	8.33±0.09bC

Data are expressed as the mean±SD. Lowercase letters indicate the statistical difference between the thawing methods, and uppercase letters indicate the statistical difference between the thawing times of the groups (p<0.05).

According to the results of fatty acid composition, the total saturated fatty acid ( $\Sigma$ SFA) of fresh samples was 22.38%, hovewer it decreased to 12.96% in the water thawing method on the 7<sup>th</sup> day 1<sup>st</sup> thawing (p<0.05). With regard to the total monounsaturated fatty acid ( $\Sigma$ MUFA), the value was 30.07% in fresh sea bass, however the highest increase (33.28%) occurred in water thawing samples. Total polyunsaturated ( $\Sigma$ PUFA) was 40.34% in fresh sea bass, but it increased to 44.67% in water thawing. Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) (C20:5n-3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) (C22:6n-3) were

detected in the range of  $4.64\pm0.03-5.39\pm0.04\%$  and  $7.53\pm0.23-8.71\pm0.07\%$ , respectively.

No statistically significant difference emerged between ambient conditions and water thawed groups with fresh material in terms of EPA and DHA (p>0.05). The n-3/n-6 ratio was 0.65 in fresh sea bass, 0.60 in refrigerator, 0.59 in microwave, 0.62 in ambient conditions and 0.61 in water thawed samples (p>0.05) (Table 2.)

Table 2. Fatty acid analysis results of sea bass thawed for the 1st time on the 7th day in different conditions (%)

	Day 7 (1st Thawing)				
	Fresh	Refrigerator	Microwave	Ambient Conditions	Water
C12:0	0.03±0.00a	0.02±0.00a	0.02±0.00a	0.03±0.00a	0.03±0.00a
C13:0	0.01±0.00a	0.01±0.00a	0.01±0.00a	0.02±0.00a	0.01±0.00a
C14:0	2.28±0.03a	2.23±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	2.14±0.01a	2.41±0.04a	2.37±0.02a
C15:0	0.28±0.00a	0.26±0.00a	0.28±0.00a	0.28±0.00a	0.27±0.00a
C16:0	15.14±0.09a	12.60±0.16b	14.98±0.01a	10.15±0.05°	7.88±0.88d
C17:0	0.25±0.01a	0.21±0.05a	0.29±0.00a	0.20±0.00a	0.15±0.01a
C18:0	4.10±0.03a	3.01±0.60b	4.19±0.02a	2.72±0.03b	1.89±0.26°
22:0	0.12±0.00a	0.13±0.00a	0.12±0.00a	0.13±0.00a	0.13±0.00a
24:0	0.18±0.02a	0.26±0.03a	0.22±0.00a	0.22±0.01a	0.23±0.00a
∑SFA	22.38±0.03ª	18.73±0.19b	22.25±0.01a	16.17±0.02°	12.96±0.29d
14:1	0.09±0.00a	0.03±0.00a	0.03±0.00a	0.03±0.00a	0.04±0.00a
16:1	3.26±0.03a	3.36±0.11a	3.13±0.02a	3.52±0.02a	3.61±0.07a
17:1	0.44±0.01a	0.45±0.01a	0.43±0.00a	0.48±0.01a	0.49±0.01a
C18:1n-9c	22.54±0.12°	24.11±0.08b	22.70±0.16°	23.97±0.11b	25.21±0.35
C18:1n-9t	0.17±0.00a	0.18±0.00a	0.17±0.01a	0.18±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.19±0.00a
20:1n-9	2.76±0.00a	2.94±0.10a	2.76±0.00a	3.08±0.00a	3.14±0.06a
22:1n-9	0.81±0.01a	0.55±0.01a	0.52±0.01a	0.54±0.01a	0.60±0.00a
∑MUFA	30.07±0.04°	31.62±0.05b	29.73±0.06°	31.81±0.04b	33.28±0.13ª
C18:2n-6t	0.82±0.00a	0.07±0.01a	0.09±0.00a	0.07±0.00a	0.06±0.00a
C18:2n-6c	22.43±0.12e	24.68±0.19°	23.68±0.14d	25.65±0.12b	26.44±0.37a
18:3n-6	0.27±0.02a	0.41±0.07a	0.34±0.01a	0.20±0.02a	0.19±0.00a
C18:3n-3	1.42±0.01a	1.61±0.06 a	1.52±0.01a	1.56±0.01a	1.64±0.02a
220:2	0.95±0.02a	1.05±0.04a	0.99±0.00a	1.00±0.01a	1.03±0.01a
220:3n-6	0.12±0.01a	0.10±0.03a	0.15±0.00a	0.09±0.01a	0.07±0.01a
C20:3n-3	0.47±0.01a	0.86±0.02a	0.84±0.01a	0.89±0.02a	0.90±0.00a
220:4n-6	0.19±0.00a	0.21±0.01a	0.37±0.22 a	0.22±0.01a	0.24±0.00a
22:2	0.07±0.01a	0.08±0.01a	0.03±0.01a	0.07±0.01a	0.06±0.01a
220:5n-3	5.01±0.05ab	4.91±0.17b	4.64±0.03b	5.39±0.04a	5.32±0.05a
C22:6n-3	8.60±0.21a	7.94±0.28b	7.53±0.23b	8.42±0.09a	8.71±0.07a
∑PUFA	40.34±0.07d	41.94±0.09°	40.18±0.09d	43.56±0.04b	44.67±0.11
Total n-3	15.50±0.10b	15.32±0.12b	14.52±0.11°	16.26±0.04a	16.57±0.03
Total n-6	23.83±0.05d	25.48±0.08bc	24.63±0.10 <sup>cd</sup>	26.23±0.05ab	27.00±0.17
n-3/n-6	0.65±0.01a	0.60±0.05a	0.59±0.06a	0.62±0.01a	0.61±0.01a
EPA/DHA	0.58±0.01a	0.62±0.00a	0.62±0.02a	0.64±0.01a	0.61±0.00a
Undefined	7.20	7.70	7.84	8.47	9.09

Lowercase letters indicate statistical differences between groups (p<0.05).

SFA - saturated fatty acids, MUFA - monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA - polyunsaturated fatty acids, EPA - eicosapentaenoic acid, DHA - docosahexaenoic acid, n - omega.

Table 3 reports the results of the fatty acid composition of the  $30^{\text{th}}$  day  $2^{\text{nd}}$  thawing. Although the  $\Sigma$ SFA value was 22.38% in fresh sea bass, it decreased to 15.53% in thawing at ambient conditions. With regard to the  $\Sigma$ MUFA, the value was 30.07% in fresh sea bass, however it increased to 33.54% in thawing under ambient conditions and was determined as the group with the highest increase. The  $\Sigma$ PUFA was 40.34% in fresh

sea bass and it increased to 42.22% in thawing under ambient conditions.

In addition, DHA was determined as  $8.60\pm0.21\%$  in fresh fish, but significant losses occurred in all thawing methods (p<0.05). The n-3/n-6 ratio was 0.65 in fresh sea bass, 0.63 in the refrigerator, 0.64 in the microwave, 0.60 in ambient conditions, and 0.57 in water thawed samples.

Table 3. Fatty acid analysis results of sea bass thawed for the 2<sup>nd</sup> time on the 30<sup>th</sup> day in different conditions (%)

	Day 30 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Thawing)				
	Fresh	Refrigerator	Microwave	Ambient Conditions	Water
C12:0	0.03±0.00a	0.02±0.00a	0.03±0.00a	0.03±0.00a	0.03±0.00a
C13:0	0.01±0.00a	0.01±0.00a	0.02±0.00a	0.01±0.00a	0.07±0.09a
C14:0	2.28±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	2.44±0.25 <sup>a</sup>	2.44±0.14a	2.36±0.02a	2.38±0.07a
C15:0	0.28±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.29±0.01a	0.29±0.00a	0.29±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.29±0.00a
C16:0	15.14±0.09a	13.92±0.04b	13.09±1.10b	9.81±0.96°	9.81±0.21°
C17:0	0.25±0.01a	0.27±0.03a	0.26±0.07a	0.20±0.01a	0.21±0.01a
C18:0	4.10±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	4.02±0.64a	3.45±1.47 <sup>b</sup>	2.50±0.24°	3.13±0.76 <sup>b</sup>
C22:0	0.12±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.12±0.01a	0.12±0.01a	0.14±0.01°	0.13±0.00a
C24:0	0.18±0.02a	0.20±0.00a	0.22±0.02a	0.19±0.00°	0.22±0.02a
∑SFA	22.38±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	21.30±0.22b	19.91±0.56°	15.53±0.32d	16.27±0.25d
C14:1	0.09±0.00a	0.03±0.01a	0.03±0.00a	0.04±0.00°	0.04±0.00a
C16:1	3.26±0.03a	3.17±0.14a	3.38±0.26a	3.45±0.04a	3.42±0.11a
C17:1	0.44±0.01a	0.43±0.01a	0.47±0.03a	0.47±0.00a	0.47±0.01a
C18:1n-9c	22.54±0.12b	23.09±0.11b	22.71±0.27b	25.76±0.43ª	25.20±0.03a
C18:1n-9t	0.17±0.00a	0.17±0.01a	0.18±0.02a	0.19±0.01a	0.18±0.01a
C20:1n-9	2.76±0.00a	2.80±0.15a	2.96±0.19a	3.16±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	3.04±0.06a
C22:1n-9	0.81±0.01a	0.47±0.03a	0.54±0.03a	0.48±0.02a	0.52±0.01a
∑MUFA	30.07±0.04b	30.16±0.07b	30.28±0.12b	33.54±0.15a	32.86±0.04a
C18:2n-6t	0.82±0.00a	0.09±0.00a	0.08±0.01a	0.07±0.00a	0.08±0.01a
C18:2n-6c	22.43±0.12°	23.45±0.38b	23.69±0.36b	24.88±0.34ª	25.24±0.24a
C18:3n-6	0.27±0.02a	0.37±0.16a	0.46±0.05a	0.42±0.01a	0.37±0.11a
C18:3n-3	1.42±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.54±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	1.52±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.64±0.03a	1.58±0.03a
C20:2	0.95±0.02a	0.96±0.02a	1.03±0.07a	1.08±0.03a	1.01±0.02a
C20:3n-6	0.12±0.01a	0.13±0.01a	0.13±0.03a	0.10±0.01a	0.12±0.02a
C20:3n-3	0.47±0.01a	0.81±0.03a	0.84±0.07a	0.84±0.03a	0.81±0.08a
C20:4n-6	0.19±0.00a	0.20±0.00a	0.21±0.02a	0.22±0.02a	0.21±0.00a
C22:2	0.07±0.01a	0.08±0.00a	0.09±0.02a	$0.07 \pm 0.00^{a}$	0.07±0.00a
C20:5n-3	5.01±0.05b	4.82±0.15b	5.56±0.32a	4.76±0.04b	4.75±0.28b
C22:6n-3	8.60±0.21ª	8.04±0.17bc	7.87±0.76°	8.14±0.00b	7.71±0.03°
∑PUFA	40.34±0.07°	40.47±0.12°	41.48±0.23b	42.22±0.10a	41.94±0.10ab
Total n-3	15.50±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	15.20±0.06a	15.78±0.32a	15.37±0.02a	14.85±0.12b
Total n-6	23.83±0.05°	24.23±0.16bc	24.58±0.15b	25.70±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	26.01±0.10 <sup>a</sup>
n-3/n-6	0.65±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.63±0.02a	0.64±0.04a	0.60±0.01b	0.57±0.03°
EPA/DHA	0.58±0.01°	0.60±0.03b	0.71±0.11a	0.58±0.00°	0.62±0.03b
Undefined	7.20	8.08	8.32	8.70	8.93

Lowercase letters indicate statistical differences between groups (p<0.05).

SFA - saturated fatty acids, MUFA - monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA - polyunsaturated fatty acids, EPA - eicosapentaenoic acid, DHA – docosahexaenoic acid, n - omega.

Table 4 shows the results of the fatty acid composition of the  $30^{th}$  day  $1^{st}$  thawing. The  $\sum$ SFA was 22.38% in fresh sea bass, but it significantly decreased to 14.38% in thawing in the refrigerator (p<0.05). The highest value (22.44%) was obtained in the microwave thawing method, and its difference with the control group was found to be statistically insignificant (p<0.05). It was determined that while  $\sum$ MUFA was 30.07% in

fresh sea bass, it significantly decreased to 28.77% in microwave thawing and increased to 33.18% in ambient conditions (p<0.05). The  $\sum$ PUFA was 40.34% in fresh sea bass and it increased to 44.70% in refrigerator thawing with the highest value among the groups (p<0.05). The n-3/n-6 ratio was 0.65 in fresh sea bass, 0.63 in refrigerator and ambient conditions, and 0.64 in microwave and water thawing (p>0.05).

Table 4. Fatty acid analysis results of sea bass thawed for the 1st time on the 30th day in different conditions (%)

	Day 30 (1st Thawing)				
	Fresh	Refrigerator	Microwave	Ambient Conditions	Water
C12:0	0.03±0.00a	0.03±0.00a	0.03±0.00a	0.03±0.00a	0.03±0.00a
C13:0	0.01±0.00a	0.01±0.00a	$0.01 \pm 0.00^a$	0.01±0.00a	0.01±0.00a
C14:0	2.28±0.03a	2.51±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.27±0.02a	2.38±0.01ª	2.37±0.00a
C15:0	0.28±0.00a	0.29±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.29±0.00a	0.28±0.00ª	0.29±0.00a
C16:0	15.14±0.09a	8.74±0.44°	15.11±0.02a	9.28±1.26bc	10.40±0.36b
C17:0	0.25±0.01a	0.17±0.01a	0.26±0.00a	0.18±0.01ª	0.19±0.00a
C18:0	4.10±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	2.28±0.09b	4.16±0.02a	2.24±0.38 <sup>b</sup>	2.50±0.13b
C22:0	0.12±0.00a	0.13±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.12±0.00a	0.13±0.00ª	0.13±0.00a
C24:0	0.18±0.02a	0.21±0.01a	0.19±0.00a	0.21±0.03°	0.18±0.00a
∑SFA	22.38±0.03ª	14.38±0.15°	22.44±0.01a	14.74±0.42°	16.10±0.12b
C14:1	0.09±0.00a	0.04±0.00a	0.03±0.00a	$0.04\pm0.00^{a}$	0.04±0.00a
C16:1	3.26±0.03a	3.60±0.02a	3.20±0.02a	3.52±0.03a	3.46±0.01a
C17:1	0.44±0.01a	0.51±0.00a	0.43±0.01a	0.49±0.00°	0.49±0.00a
C18:1n-9c	22.54±0.12°	23.88±0.18b	21.67±0.10 <sup>d</sup>	25.35±0.68a	24.28±0.15at
C18:1n-9t	0.17±0.00a	0.19±0.00a	0.17±0.00a	0.19±0.00a	0.18±0.00a
C20:1n-9	2.76±0.00a	3.18±0.02a	2.80±0.01a	3.11±0.04a	3.06±0.01a
C22:1n-9	0.81±0.01a	0.55±0.02a	0.47±0.00a	0.48±0.02a	0.46±0.00a
∑MUFA	30.07±0.04°	31.93±0.06b	28.77±0.04d	33.18±0.25a	31.97±0.06b
18:2n-6t	0.82±0.00a	0.07±0.00a	0.09±0.00a	0.07±0.00a	0.07±0.00a
C18:2n-6c	22.43±0.12d	25.92±0.13a	23.30±0.04°	25.22±0.41ab	24.88±0.11b
C18:3n-6	0.27±0.02a	0.40±0.01a	0.47±0.00a	0.41±0.00°	0.40±0.01a
C18:3n-3	1.42±0.01a	1.56±0.01a	1.41±0.01a	1.54±0.06ª	1.55±0.01a
20:2	0.95±0.02a	1.04±0.01a	0.96±0.00a	0.97±0.03ª	1.05±0.01a
C20:3n-6	0.12±0.01a	0.10±0.01a	0.13±0.00a	0.08±0.00a	0.09±0.00a
C20:3n-3	0.47±0.01a	0.88±0.01a	0.85±0.01a	0.88±0.02a	0.87±0.01a
C20:4n-6	0.19±0.00a	0.22±0.01a	0.20±0.00a	0.21±0.01a	0.21±0.00a
22:2	0.07±0.01a	0.07±0.01a	0.09±0.01a	0.07±0.00a	0.07±0.00a
C20:5n-3	5.01±0.05a	5.56±0.02a	5.00±0.04a	5.00±0.03a	5.15±0.01a
C22:6n-3	8.60±0.21a	8.90±0.09a	8.28±0.11a	8.97±0.09a	8.86±0.13a
∑PUFA	40.34±0.07°	44.70±0.04a	40.79±0.03°	43.41±0.12b	43.21±0.05b
Total n-3	15.50±0.10b	16.89±0.04°	15.55±0.05b	16.39±0.03°	16.43±0.06
Total n-6	23.83±0.05°	26.70±0.06a	24.19±0.02°	25.99±0.18ab	25.66±0.05b
n-3/n-6	0.65±0.01a	0.63±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.64±0.02a	0.63±0.01a	0.64±0.01a
EPA/DHA	0.58±0.01°	0.63±0.00a	0.60±0.00b	0.56±0.00d	0.58±0.01°
Undefined	7.20	8.98	8.00	8.67	8.71

Lowercase letters indicate statistical differences between groups (p<0.05).

SFA - saturated fatty acids, MUFA - monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA - polyunsaturated fatty acids, EPA - eicosapentaenoic acid, DHA - docosahexaenoic acid, n - omega.

## **DISCUSSION**

The lipid content of fish varies widely and not only according to the fish species. Changes in the lipid amount of fish meat can also occur due to seasonal conditions, nutritional characteristics, salt content of the water and various other factors (Çilingir Yeltekin, 2012). For this reason, although it is difficult to specify a general amount of lipid content of fish (Baysal, 2002), it is reported that the total amount of lipid varies between 0.5% and 20% (Koubaa et al., 2012). Fish are generally classified as lean, medium oily and oily on the basis of their lipid content. Less than 5% fat content is considered lean, 5-10% medium oily, and more than 10% oily fish (Ackman, 1989). The quality of fish stems from 15-20% saturated and 80-85% unsaturated fatty acids in its composition. Polyunsaturated fatty acids constitute the

majority of these unsaturated fatty acids (Yalçın and Yalçın, 2016). Periago et al. (2005) in their study examining the meat quality of cultured and wild sea bass, reported an initial lipid content for fresh cultured sea bass of 6.66%. Orban et al. (2003) also determined a value of 9.36% in their similar studies. In our study, the lipid content of fresh sea bass was determined as 10.29%. According to the results of the present study, sea bass are generally in the class of medium oily fish when compared with other studies.

Lipid content decreased in the thawing processes performed on the  $7^{\text{th}}$  and  $30^{\text{th}}$  days after the freezing process compared to the fresh fish on day 0 in our study. In general, there was a decrease in lipid content depending on time. On the  $7^{\text{th}}$  day and  $30^{\text{th}}$  day  $1^{\text{st}}$  thawing, the lowest lipid value was determined at microwave thawed samples. The highest lipid

content was in the samples thawed in the refrigerator. Samantaray et al. (2021), applied thawing several times in samples of different carps species. As a result, while the lipid content was 2.23±0.03% and 2.02±0.03%, respectively in the fresh sample of Catla and Rohu species, the value decreased to 1.04±0.06% and 1.49±0.03%, respectively in the 5<sup>th</sup> thawing. Pourshamsian et al. (2012) applied the frying of cultured sturgeon with different oils, followed by freezing and thawing in the refrigerator and microwave three days later. They reported that the lipid ratios in the samples decreased after the thawing processes performed on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day, but no significant difference was observed between the two thawing methods.

Thawing is the final stage of the freezing process. In the stage of processing frozen fish or consuming it as fresh fish, thawing under inappropriate conditions causes quality loss in the product (Binici and Kurtkaya, 2014). Air thawing, water thawing, vacuum thawing, electrical thawing and high pressure thawing methods are all methods used for food (Binici and Kurtkaya, 2014; Genc et al., 2015). Multiple freezing and thawing processes are generally used in restaurants, hypermarkets and homes. According to researches in the literature, it is not possible to give valid information for the best thawing method (Baygar et al., 2004). For instance, thawing at room temperature is widely practiced in small businesses, but it is not recommended due to the risk of microorganisms development. Excessive water loss, bad odor and taste may occur in the refrigerator thawing due to the slow thawing and bacterial growth over time. In principle, seafood should be thawed as quickly as possible. Faster and more advantageous thawing methods are possible for large facilities, and microwave thawing is one of them (Turan et al., 2006).

Mol et al. (2004) found that different thawing conditions (water, ambient conditions and refrigerator) did not cause a significant change on the quality of imported mackerel according to the sensory, chemical and microbiological analyzes and mackerels can be consumed by thawing at refrigerator, ambient conditions and refrigerator in a healthy way. Tokur and Kandemir (2008) examined the effects of different thawing methods (microwave, flowing water and ambient conditions) on protein quality of frozen fish, and reported that different thawing processes caused a significant decrease in protein solubility. Turan et al. (2006) evaluated the effects of different thawing methods (microwave, water, ambient temperature, refrigerator) on the quality of frozen trout by sensory, chemical and microbiological methods, and their results showed that the best quality was in microwave and water thawing in terms of both chemical and sensory characteristics. It was concluded that the water thawing method would be more appropriate in large facilities, since microwave thawing method is an expensive and requiring control. For consumers who thaw small quantities of fish, the microwave thawing method is recommended because of taking less time. Ersoy et al. (2008) applied refrigerator, water, ambient conditions and microwave thawing to frozen eel, and they concluded that the water thawing was the most appropriate method by a microbiological point of view. Karami et al. (2022) in their study that invastigated the effect of different (microwave, ambient and air refrigerator) thawing processes on quality of mullet (Liza aurata) fillets during the 60 day storage, while on the first day protein content were 18.25%, 19.13% and 19.18% in the groups of microwave, ambient air and refrigerator thawing, after 60 days it decreased to 17.37%, 18.89% and 18.95%, respectively. They found the lipid content on the first day as 3.76%, 4% and 4% in the groups of microwave, ambient air and refrigerator thawing, after 60 days it decreased to 3.57%, 3.77%, 3.78%, respectively. The highest decrease was observed in the microwave thawing group in terms of protein and lipid content. Also in the same study maximum decrease in moisture content was in the microwave thawing (77.27% on the first day, 66% on the 60<sup>th</sup> day). Wang et al. (2022) studied the effects of different thawing methods (water immersion thawing, air thawing and refrigerator thawing) on the biochemical properties of tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) fillets during storage at -18°C for 6 months. According to the thawing and cooking losses refrigerator thawing is suggested to be an effective thawing method similar with our study to minimise quality change during frozen storage. Han and Gökoğlu (2022) studied the effects of different freezing and thawing (on air, in refrigerator and in microwave oven) methods on the quality of giant red shrimp (Aristaeomorpha foliacea). Results showed that microwave thawing conditions are not suitable similar with our study for thawing of frozen shrimp as it negatively affects texture and colour and increases cooking loss. Benjakul and Bauer (2001) studied thawing of catfish using different techniques and they determined that the fast thawing process caused a better change in the sensory and textural quality of the meat compared to the slow thawing process. They also reported that deterioration in the structure of meat, lipid oxidation and protein denaturation occured due to the osmotic pressure of water during thawing in water. In thawing methods, temperature fluctuations significantly affect the quality. Frozen foods are mostly thawed before processing or consumption and it can cause chemical, physical and microbiological damage to food (Pourshamsian et al., 2012). Polyunsaturated fatty acids, which are abundant in the structure of fish, are also oxidatively damaged during storage (Nazemroaya et al., 2009).

In our study, according to the fatty acid composition of sea bass thawed at different times with different methods, it was determined that the dominant saturated fatty acids were palmitic acid (C16:0), myristic acid (C14:0) and stearic acid (C18:0) in all groups. The highest palmitic acid was determined in fresh samples with a value of 15.14%. A decrease in palmitic acid was observed with the storage period. In general, the lowest total SFA occurred in the water and ambient conditions thawing on all thawing days. Durmuş and Özoğul (2018) studied the effect of nanoemulsions on the fatty acids of sea bass fillets in cold storage and they reported the total SFA of the control group as 19.21% at the beginning of storage, and an increase in the SFA during the storage period. In addition,

the total SFA increased to 24.49% on the 8th day of storage for the control group, and this value was 24.05% at the end of the storage (12th day). In our study, the total SFA was 22.38% at the beginning of the storage, and the total SFA of all thawing methods during the storage were lower than the results of the researcher.

In our study, linoleic acid, EPA and DHA were determined as 22.43%, 5.01% and 8.60%, respectively, in the fresh sample. The total PUFA was determined as 40.34% in the fresh sample and between 40.47-44.70% in the samples thawed in the refrigerator. Durmuş and Özoğul (2018) stated that the highest polyunsaturated fatty acids were linoleic acid, EPA and DHA with 14.52%, 4.21% and 8.09%, respectively, for the control group at the beginning of storage. Kocatepe and Turan (2012) determined that the total polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) in the fatty acid composition of cultured sea bass were 33.2%. Durmuş and Özoğul (2018) reported that the total polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) was 29.25% at the beginning of storage, while there was a decrease in PUFA during the storage period and this value was 20.94% at the end of the storage (12th day). The PUFA values in our study were higher than those reported by the other researchers. Comparing the thawing methods, the highest PUFA and n-3 values were found in the samples thawed in the water (41.94±0.10%-44.67±0.11% and 14.85±0.12%-16.57±0.03%) and ambient conditions (42.22±0.10%-43.56±0.0% and 15.37±0.02%-16.39±0.03%). Lower values were obtained on the 30th day 2nd thawing. Javadian et al. (2013) in a study applying different thawing methods (refrigerator, water, microwave, ambient conditions) to frozen rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), reported that after freezing/thawing, the contents of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and n-3 were significantly reduced compared to the fresh sample. They concluded that thawing in water after freezing is the best method for all rainbow trout. Wu et al. (2021) examined the effects of freezing and thawing processes applied to raw pork meat on protein and lipid oxidation, applying thawing 7 times. As a result, while in the control group, saturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids were 36.27±0.96% 15.85±0.90%, respectively, the values decreased significantly to  $31.77\pm0.68\%$  and  $8.91\pm1.04\%$  at the 7<sup>th</sup> thawing. Pourshamsian et al. (2012) evaluated the fatty acid profile of the cultured sturgeon that was fried, freezed and then thawed in refrigerator and microwave after 3 days. They reported that fatty acid profiles was similar to the control group in the refrigerator thawing method than microwave thawing method.

Refrigerator and microwave thawing methods are the most effective methods for thawing animal tissues in large portions. The microwave thawing method is faster and less damaging the tissues (Boonsumrej et al., 2007). The thawing method should preserve the original quality of the food as much as possible. When the studies of various researchers were investigated, results of different thawing methods were obtained. Researchers' results may differ due to fish species, region, season, gender or ovulation period besides thawing

method. Thus, it is not possible to give a clear conclusion on the most efficient thawing method.

#### CONCLUSION

In our study, according to the the lipids analysis, the lowest fat loss was observed in the refrigerator thawing method (9.19±0.18%) and the highest lipid loss (7.46±0.57%) was in the microwave thawed samples at Day 30 (1st thawing). According to the fatty acid profile, due to the lowest loss of unsaturated fatty acids (C18:2 25.92±0.13%, C20:5 5.56±0.02%, C22:6n-3 8.90±0.09%, ∑PUFA 44.70±0.04%) at Day 30 (1st thawing) the most convenient method was determied as refrigerator thawing. As a result, all seafood, including fish, are the products that are highly susceptible to quality loss and deterioration in terms of meat structure and content. Incorrect practices during the storage and thawing of seafood accelerate the losses in all other quality parameters, especially meat quality. Even if the freezing conditions are appropriate, incorrect applications during the thawing of the products can cause undesired quality changes. Thus, the cold chain conditions should not be broken in such processes, the ambient and water temperature should not be high during thawing, the products should not be kept in ambient conditions for a long time. In the case of water thawed samples, the water pressure should not be high to avoid to damage the meat. In addition it should be prevented water penetration inside the meat and excessive freezing and thawing, together with avoid to damage the meat with the effect of heat in applications such as microwaves. As a result of the study, it is recommended to the consumers that frozen foods should be thawed only once. to consume immediately and to freeze and thaw the fish according to their needs.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND FUNDING**

This study was supported by the Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit of Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University's grant (Project number: 19/081/03/2/6).

## **AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors contributed to the idea and design of the study. Cansu Metin, Yunus Alparslan and Zerrin Ekşi carried out the laboratory studies; material preparation, lipid and fatty acid analyses. Taçnur Baygar, Yunus Alparslan and Cansu Metin interpreted the results. The writing/editing was carried out by Cansu Metin, Yunus Alparslan and Zerrin Ekşi, Taçnur Baygar and all authors have read and approved the article.

## **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest or competing interests.

#### **ETHICS APPROVAL**

No specific ethical approval was necessary for this study.

#### **DATA AVAILABILITY**

The data supporting the conclusions of this paper are available in the main paper.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Abraha, B., Admassu, H., Mahmud, A., Tsighe, N., Shui, X.W., & Fang, Y. (2018). Effect of processing methods on nutritional and physico-chemical composition of fish: a review. MOJ Food Processing & Technology, 6(4), 376-382. DOI:10.15406/mojfpt.2018.06.00191
- Ackman, R.G. (1989). Nutritional composition of fats in seafoods. *Progress in Food and Nutrition Science*, 13, 161-241.
- Anonymous (2014). EU is at the forefront of Fisheries Exports (in Turkish).

  Available at: http://www.hurriyet.com.tr/ekonomi/27725407.asp (20.01.2015).
- Anonymous (2019). Turkish Academy of Sciences, II. Food and Healthy Nutrition Symposium Report, Fisheries and Health (in Turkish). ISBN: 978-605-2249-39-0.
- Baygar, T., Özden, Ö. &Üçok, D. (2004). The effect of the freezing-thawing process on fish quality (only abstract in English). *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, 28, 173-178.
- Baysal, A. (2002). Nutrition (in Turkish). Ankara: Hatipoğlu Publishing House.
- Benjakul, S., & Bauer, F. (2001). Biochemical and physicochemical changes in catfish (*Silurus glanis*) muscle as influenced by different freeze–thaw cycles. *Food Chemistry*, 72, 207-217. DOI:10.1016/S0308-8146(00)00222-3
- Binici, A., & Kurtkaya, G. (2014). The effects of cold storage methods on quality of fishery products (only abstract in English). Bilim ve Gençlik Dergisi, 2(2), 23-40.
- Bligh, E.G., & Dyer, W.J. (1959). A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology, 37, 911-917. DOI: 10.1139/o59-099
- Boonsumrej, S., Chaiwanichsiri, S., Tantratian, S., Suzuki, T., & Takai, R. (2007). Effects of freezing and thawing on the quality changes of tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) frozen by air-blast and cryogenic freezing. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 80, 292-299. DOI:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2006.04.059
- Bozkır, H., Baysal, T. & Ergün, A.R. (2014). Novel thawing techniques applied in food industry (only abstract in English). Academic Food Journal, 12(3), 38-44
- Chen, J., Jayachandran, M., Bai, W., &Xu, B. (2022). A critical review on the health benefits of fish consumption and its bioactive constituents. *Food Chemistry*, 369(130874), 1-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130874
- Çilingir Yeltekin, A. (2012). The investigation of the seasonal changes in the some antioxidant and fatty acid levels of rainbow trouts (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) breeded in Çatak district of Van country (only abstract in English). Van Yüzüncü Yıl University, Faculty of Science, Department of Chemistry, PhD Thesis, Van, Turkey.
- Durmuş, M., & Özoğul, Y. (2018). The effects of nanoemulsions on the fatty acid profiles of sea bass fillets during storage at 2±2°C. Ege Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science, 35(3), 227-235. DOI:10.12714/egejfas.2018.35.3.01
- Ersoy, B., Aksan, E., &Özeren, A. (2008). The effect of thawing methods on the quality of eels (*Anguilla anguilla*). Food Chemistry, 111, 377–380. DOI:10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.03.081
- Genç, İ.Y., Esteves, E., Anibal, J., & Diler, A. (2015). Effects of different thawing methods on the quality of meagre fillets. *Ankara Universitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi*, 62, 153-159. DOI:10.1501/Vetfak\_0000002673
- Gülyavuz, H., & Ünlüsayın, M. (1999). Seafood Processing Technology (in Turkish). Ankara: Süleyman Demirel University, Eğirdir Fisheries Faculty, Şahin Printing house, 366p, ISBN:975-96897-0-7, Ankara, Turkey.
- Han, A.T., & Gökoğlu, N. (2022). Effects of different freezing and thawing methods on the quality of giant red shrimp (*Aristaeomorpha foliacea*). *Acta Aquatica: Aquatic Sciences Journal*, 9(1), 46-53. DOI:10.29103/aa.v9i1.6977
- Ichihara, K., Shibahara, A., Yamamoto, K., & Nakayama, T. (1996). An improved method for rapid analysis of the fatty acids of glycerolipids. *Lipids*, 31, 535-539. DOI:10.1007/BF02522648
- ISO. (1990). Animal and Vegetable Fats and Oils-Analysis by Gas Chromatography of Methyl Esters of Fatty Acids. EN ISO 5508.
- Javadian, S.R., Rezaei, M., Soltani, M., Kazemian, M., & Pourgholam, R. (2013). Effects of thawing methods on chemical, biochemical, and

- microbial quality of frozen whole rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, 22(2), 168-177. DOI:10.1080/10498850.2011.636865
- Karami, B., Hajiha, E., & Kazemian, M. (2022). Comparison of different thawing methods quality of mullet fillets (*Liza aurata*). *Journal of Food Research*, 32(2):31-42. DOI:10.22034/FR.2022.40761.1751
- Kocatepe, D., & Turan, H. (2012). Proximate and fatty acid composition of some commercially important fish species from the Sinop Region of the Black Sea. *Lipids*, 47(6), 635-641. DOI:10.1007/s11745-012-3658-1
- Konak, Ü.İ., Certel, M., & Helhel, S. (2009). Applications of microwaves in the food industry (only abstract in English). *Electronic Journal of Food Technologies*, 4(3), 20-31.
- Koubaa, A., Mihoubi, N.B., Abdelmouleh, A., & Bouain, A. (2012). Comparison of the effects of four cooking methods on fatty acid profiles and nutritional composition of red mullet (*Mullus barbatus*) muscle. Food Science and Biotechnology, 21, 1243–1250. DOI:10.1007/s10068-012-0163-5
- Metli, M. (2006). Determination of quality properties some fish species sold in the markets and bazaars of Bursa (only abstract in English). Food Hygiene and Technology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Uludağ University, PhD Thesis, Bursa, Turkey.
- Mol, S., Özden, Ö., Erkan, N., & Baygar, T. (2004). Determination of the quality parameters of imported mackerel under different thawing conditions (only abstract in English). *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, 28, 1071-1077.
- Murray, J., & Burt, J.R. (2011). The Composition of Fish. Ministry of Technology, Torry Research Station, Torry advisory note, No.38.
- Nazemroaya, S., Sahari, M.A., & Rezaei, M. (2009). Effect of frozen storage on fatty acid composition and changes in lipid content of Scomberomorus commersoni and Carcharhinus dussumieri. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 25(1), 91-95. DOI:10.1111/j.1439-0426.2008.01176.x
- Orban, E., Nevigato, T., Di Lena, G., Casini, I., & Marzetti, A. (2003). Differentiation in the lipid quality of wild and farmed seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and Gilthead Sea Bream (*Sparus aurata*). *Journal of Food Science*, 68, 1-5. DOI:10.1111/j.1365-2621.2003.tb14127.x
- Periago, M.J., Ayala, M.D., Lopez-Albors, O., Abdel, I., Martinez, C., Garcia-Alcazar, A., Ros, G., & Gil, F. (2005). Muscle cellularity and flesh quality of wild and farmed sea bass. *Aquaculture*, 249, 175-188. DOI:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.02.047
- Pourshamsian, K., Ghomi, M.R., & Nikoo, M. (2012). Fatty acid and proximate composition of farmed great sturgeon (*Huso huso*) affected by thawing methods, frying oils and chill storage. Advanced Studies in Biology, 4, 67-76
- Samantaray, S., Mehta, N.K., Rout, B., Majumdar, R.K., Sharma, S., Nayak, A., & Pal, P. (2021). Effect of repeated freezing-thawing on protein fractions, textural, and functional properties of few species of freshwater fishes (Indian Major Carps). *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, 30(1), 31-48. DOI:10.1080/10498850.2020.1854912
- Tokur, B., & Kandemir, S. (2008). The effects of different thawing methods on protein quality of frozen fish (only abstract in English). *Journal of FisheriesSciences.com*, 2(1), 100-106. DOI:10.3153/jfscom.2008010
- Turan, H., Kaya., Y., Erdem., M.E., Sönmez, G., Kodalak, N., &Erkoyuncu, İ. (2006) The effects of different thawing conditions on the quality of frozen trout (only abstract in English). İstanbul University Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 20, 21-32.
- Wang, H., Shi, W., &Wang, X. (2022). Effects of different thawing methods on microstructure and the biochemical properties of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fillets during frozen storage. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 57, 224–234. DOI:10.1111/ijfs.15226
- Wu, X., Zhang, Z., He, Z., Wang, Z., Qin, F., Zeng, M., & Chen, J. (2021). Effect of freeze-thaw cycles on the oxidation of protein and fat and its relationship with the formation of heterocyclic aromatic amines and advanced glycation end products in raw meat, molecules. *Molecules*, 26, 1264. DOI:10.3390/molecules26051264
- Yalçın, N.F., & Yalçın, S. (2016). Effects of different processes fatty acid composition of on rainbow trout (*Oncorhyncus mykiss*) (only abstract in English). *Yunus Araştırma Bülteni*, (2), 71-79. DOI:10.17693/yunus.33000