Comparison of the mechanical properties of meat and fish salamis
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INTRODUCTION

Fish mince offers great flexibilities in production, formulation, and also texture modification (Yoon et al., 1991) in emulsified products. Many fish based products can be produced by using fish mince such as; sticks, cakes, sausages, salamis, and patties. But seafood is known as more perishable than other high-protein foods. Cool chain should not be broken, and protecting case and package should be used for preserving quality. The production in fish based emulsion products can be alternatives for the usual high-fat, high-calorie pork, or beef salami and sausages. Fish is known as a rich source of long-chain n-3 fatty acids, and those fish acids are known to have a range of health benefits. Especially potential roles in reducing the risk of coronary heart disease, inflammatory disorders, and immune disorders have resulted in interest among consumers and manufacturers (DeDeckere et al., 1998; Trautwein, 2001). Besides, emulsified fish products like salami and sausage can be other alternatives for food manufacturers. Mince of fish and surimi/surimi powder have been used as a raw material for emulsion sausage production for many years, especially in the Asian countries (Konno, 2005). All over the world the health organisations recommend limiting the intake of saturated fatty acids and cholesterol (Kris-Etherton et al., 1988). The best solution might be to encourage consumers to consume fish-based products.

Emulsion sausages and salamis are widely consumed in both Western and Asian countries (Panpipat and Yonsawatdigul, 2008). Fish salami production steps were just like the products made from beef, pork, and chicken salamis which had nearly 20–30% fat in formulas. Distinctions in the steps were using higher contents of fish flesh, lower amounts of fat, and different types of seasoning. Texture is the most important sensory property for acceptability of this kind of seafood product (Botta, 1991). The overall quality of seafood is generally based on the sensorial acceptability by the consumer (Sikorski et al., 1991). Wholesomeness is affected by chemical composition and microbiological considerations, while sensory acceptability is determined largely by flavor and texture (Sawyer et al., 1984). Destabilization of fat and water during cooking causes an undesirable separation of fat and water which results in poor texture (Lee et al., 1987). Soybean proteins have the ability to enhance and stabilize fat emulsions.
and improve viscosity, texture and moisture retention as well as overall yields in emulsified products (Kinsella 1979). So the principles of true emulsions have been employed to explain the mechanism by which fat is stabilized. There are various studies about the meat emulsions (Gerigk et al., 1986; Perchonok and Regenstein 1986). However, there are few studies on fish-based emulsion products (Cardoso et al., 2008; Moreira et al., 2002; Panpipat and Ravishankar 1992; Panpipat and Yonsawatdigul 2008). Therefore, the objective of this study was to show how fish salami is produced and to investigate and compare its mechanical properties and color with commercial beef salami.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Raw material

As raw material, frozen and skinless saithe (Polachius virens) fillets were preffered in the current study. Fish samples were supplied by a commercial seafood company. And the used beef salamis were purchased from a commercial market chain. It was known that frozen fish fillet samples were stored for 2 months at –24 °C. At the beginning of production fish samples were thawed (10kgs) in to -2°C. Other ingredients (Sunflower oil, fat, spices) were purchased from the suppliers. Same Turkish Standard Institute (TSI, standard number 9269/December 1991) formula was used for fish salami production. Fish mince was replaced with beef mince and modification of spices were the difference in the formula.

Formulation

The formula and ingredients of the fish salami was as follows: skinless saithe fillets, 67.29; ice, 16.15; beef fat, 5.04; sunflower oil, 5.05; soy protein concentrate, 2.36; potato starch (modified), 2.36; salt, 1.01; sodium tripolyphosphate (STPP), 0.17; red pepper, 0.07; black pepper, 0.13; sugar, 0.13; pimento, 0.07; coriander, 0.07; ginger, 0.03; ascorbic acid, 0.02; sodium nitrite, 0.01 g.

Preparation of Salami

Frozen fish fillets were thawed overnight in the fridge (0-4°C). Fish fillets were chopped with using 2 cm grinding blades in kitchen aid grinder (2 kg / min, model KPM 5, St. Joseph, MI, USA) equipped with a 6 mm diameter circular perforated metallic screen and pusher. At the same time, beef fat was minced, and appropriate rations were prepared (750 g). Appropriate quantities of various additives were weighed to produce 15 kg of dough.

Salami dough was prepared in sequential stages as follows: First, the shredded filet is mixed with an industrial bowl cutter for 2 minutes with salt and STPP (20-kg capacity; Mainca Bowl Cutter model C-14, Berkshire, UK). During the mixing process, the internal temperature of the dough was recorded as -2.16 ± 0.09 °C. In the second stage, ice water (1/3 of the total), soy protein concentrate, and potato starch were added for 1 minute with additional mixing. After that, fat and sunflower oil were added separately and each was mixed for 1 minute. Spices and a 1/3 ice water were added. The final step included the addition of additives and preservatives and the rest of the iced water. Additional stirring was carried out at the same rate for 2 minutes. Then stuffed in a casing which has a length of 15 cm and a radius of 2.5 cm and a weight of about 250 g. The stuffed dough was dried in a 80 °C conventional oven (model FKG-042, Inoksan Industrial Equipments, Bursa, Turkey) for 35 minutes.

After drying, the salamis were immediately cooled in ice water (1: 1, v / v). After being sealed with a stapler, the salamis were hung on, rinsed quickly with cold water, and dough residues were removed.

The salamis were then cooked for 3 hours at 90°C scalding boiler (Model BKR-054, Inoksan Industrial Equipment, Bursa, Turkey) with an internal temperature of 75°C (measured with a thermocouple probe). The cooled salamis were kept overnight in a refrigerator (0+4°C) and used the following day.

Color measurement

The color measurement was carried out using the Schubring (2002) method, measuring 10 times using different parts of the upper smooth surface. In the CIE Laboratory system, L* indicates the intensity of light in black from 0 to 100 scales; a* (+) red or (-) green, and b* (+) indicates yellow or (-) blue.

Texture profile analysis (TPA)

Texture Profile Analysis (TPA) was performed using the TA-XT Plus texture analyzer (Stable Micro Systems, Godalming, UK) according to Schubring (2003) method. Prior to the test, the salami samples were equilibrated to room temperature for 30 minutes and sectioned into a 2 cm thick layer drawn into a 5 cm diameter cylinder. The samples were compressed twice in a cross speed of 0.80 mm / sec to 65% of the original height using a 5 cm diameter cylindrical probe. The mechanical properties of hardness, cohesiveness, springiness, resilience and chewiness were determined by the resulting force/deformation curves.

Shear test

Sample loading, test conditions, and sample preparation followed the procedure described by Su et al. (2000). The samples were compressed to 60% of their original height at a cross speed of 0.80 mm / sec using a Warner-Bratzler blade (Stable Micro Systems, Godalming, Surrey, UK) once set up with a 25 kg load cell. Shear force and cutting samples were detected with a Warner-Bratzler knife attached to the same texture analyzer. The maximum force (shear force) to cut the sample and the work required to move the blade along the sample (work of shearing) were recorded.

Kramer test

The firmness of the sample was measured using a texture analyzer equipped with a Kramer shear-compression test cell with 5 blade aluminum plates. 40.000 mm/sec cross head
speed was used in the test. Samples were cut in 25 x 50 x 12 mm sizes and placed in the cells; five samples were used. Peak values were recorded during drilling of samples (Kao and Lin, 2006).

**Pate penetration**

The minced sample was placed on an 8-hole plate. The samples are compressed once using a multi-needle probe at a crosshead distance of 15,000 mm / sec. The test speed was set at 1.10 mm / sec and peak values were recorded during drilling of samples while in operation (Smewing, 1996).

**Water-holding capacity (WHC)**

Expressible moisture of salami samples was measured with WHC using a filter paper pressing method as described by Schubring et al. (2003) with slight modification. Salami pieces (21 mm in diameter, 20 mm thick), were placed between parallel plates with a pair of filter paper (Schleicher & Schuell 2043A, 7cm x 7cm) using a texture analyzer TA-XT Plus (Stable Micro Systems), Godalming, Surrey, UK. A 25 kg load cell and a cross head speed of 1.7 bar / s were used for pressing the samples.

The samples were compressed to 90% deformation and held for 10 seconds. Percentage expressible moisture was used as the WHC and was calculated as: 100 × (initial weight - final weight)/initial weight.

**Cooking loss**

Cooking loss was calculated from differences in the weight of uncooked and cooked samples and expressed as a percentage of the initial weight.

The method used during the test is described in weight (Boles and Swan 1996). The samples were placed in polyethylene bags and cooked in a water bath at 100 °C until an internal temperature of 75 °C was obtained. Weight loss after cooking was used as % cooking loss: 100 × (weight loss)/initial weight.

**Sensory analysis**

The sensory evaluation of fish salami followed the method described by Siddaiah et al. (2001) using a panel of 5-7 experts familiar with the sensory evaluation of seafood. Three pieces of salamis were cut into pieces in two cm thick. For each panelist and 3 slices from the same salami sample were served. The salamis were shared to five plates, so each panelist could both examine 3 samples and served at the same time. During the panelists, five sensory criteria were evaluated as appearance, color, smell, texture and taste. The evaluators were also asked to specify the general acceptability score based on the five sensory features.

**Statistical analyses**

SPSS statistical package (SPSS 15.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used to perform the analysis. The difference of means between pairs was resolved with confidence intervals using a T-Test. The level of significance was set for P <0.05.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Textural profile results**

The mean mechanical properties of Texture Profile Analysis (TPA) tests press peak values are summarized in Table 1. Commercial meat salami and produced fish salami were compared due to their mechanical properties. Hardness and chewiness, in this fish-based product, had values 49.47 (N) and 22.34 (Nmm), respectively. These values were nearly two and three times lower than the taken values from meat salami(<0.05). But on the other hand, results were little bit higher than the meat salami reported by Llull et al. (2002). Similar values for textural parameters were mentioned in the papers of other researchers in meat products: hardness between 21.3 and 35.3 N for salami (Letelier et al., 1995) and chewiness between 11.6 and 22.8 N for dry-cured hams (Monin, 1998). Moreover, in a cooked meat product such as bologna sausages, hardness values ranged between 27.1 and 45.8 N (Jimenez, 1995). Cohesiveness is a measure of the degree of difficulty to break down the internal structure of the salami. The cohesiveness of prepared salami samples was 0.51 where the value from meat salami was 0.0. Springiness represents the extent of recovery of salami height and sometimes referred to as “elasticity” (Sanderson, 1990). Presented data on Table 1 was 1.05 in fish salami and 0.01 in meat salami. In general, the addition of water and ice in salami preparation made the structure softer and less breakable but the potato starch and soy flour made the product substantial supported by the evidence of the data observed above. The difference of fish and meat salamis in TPA results can be seen in Table 1. As known, soybean protein has protein structure to increase and stabilize oil emulsions and the ability to increase viscosity, tissue and moisture retention and total yield in emulsified products (Kinsella, 1979) so in future work on balancing mechanical properties with potato starch and soy flour content should also be augmented in fish salami.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Hardness (N)</th>
<th>Adhesiveness</th>
<th>Springiness (mm)</th>
<th>Cohesiveness</th>
<th>Chewiness (Nmm)</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish salami</td>
<td>49.47±1.6 a</td>
<td>-30.23±4.0 a</td>
<td>1.05±0.0 a</td>
<td>0.51±0.0 a</td>
<td>22.34±7.5 a</td>
<td>0.05±0.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef salami</td>
<td>104.21±21.6 b</td>
<td>-0.01±0.0 b</td>
<td>0.01±0.0 b</td>
<td>0.00±0.0 a</td>
<td>60.5±22.0 b</td>
<td>0.00±0.0 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscript letters in the same column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) n=10
The mean mechanical properties from the Kramer shear and Warner Bratzler compression tests press peak values are summarized in Table 2. The shear force values of fish salami were determined by using two mechanical tests. The shear force results were 50.80 and 50.82, respectively. And the firmness values were 3.71N (taken by Warner Bratzler from whole) and 2.70 N (taken from pate penetration Test). On the other hand, taken data from meat salami were 166 N and 178.4 N (Shear force), these values were three times higher than fish salami. Formulated meat texture depends on the composition and processing conditions used. In addition, these factors affect product skin formation, which is also due to salt activation and heat coagulation of myofibrils and sarcoplasmic muscle proteins (Terrell et al., 1991). In contrast, texture measurements have shown that fish salami samples do not contribute as effectively as tissue strength of chicken or red meat myofibril proteins. As already mentioned, it is known that the quality of proteins and oil affects the textural properties of processed foods (Park et al., 2001). But addition of texture modifying agents like potato starch and soy flour, the product became more substantial. These results were similar with the study of Moreira et al. (2002) who prepared emulsified sausage from tilapia fillets to determine their textural parameters. The results obtained are also consistent with the other studies showing that the addition of soy protein or starch improves textural properties by reducing product stiffness (Dawkins et al., 2001; Ho et al., 1997; Prabhu and Sebranek, 1997). Ho et al. (1997) reported that the addition of tofu powder to lean frankfurters improved product texture. In a previous study, Lee (1985) showed that when fish muscle was chopped with NaCl and sodium polyphosphate, a considerable reduction in compressive strength and a marked increase in shear strength occurred after the material was frozen and thawed compared to the fresh material.

### Table 2. Mechanical properties of fish and beef salamis and cooking loss results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Fish salami</th>
<th>Beef salami</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kramer Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force (N)</td>
<td>50.8±4.2 a</td>
<td>166.0±1.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warner Bratzler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmness (N)</td>
<td>3.7±0.3 a</td>
<td>6.76±1.9 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force (N)</td>
<td>50.8±2.9 a</td>
<td>178.4±18.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pate penetration (N)</td>
<td>2.7±0.2 a</td>
<td>3.6±0.3 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHC (%)&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.1±0.1 a</td>
<td>14.0±0.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking loss (%)&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.0±0.1 a</td>
<td>8.1±0.1 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscript letters in the same row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) n=10

Expressible moisture test was used to determine Water Holding Capacity. Cooking loss and WHC results were given as percentage (%)

### Cook loss and water holding capacity (WHC) results

One of the important features of salami and other emulsified products is its ability to retain and bind moisture and other liquids in the product both before and after the process. In the present study, cooking loss describes the measurement of the amount of moisture lost during cooking. Water-holding capacity (WHC) test is used to measure how well liquids are kept in the cooked product. Cooking loss and water holding capacity of fish and meat salam sample are shown in Table 2. Due to the results 3% difference was determined. Chang and Carpenter (1997) reported that higher expressible moisture values were found with increased addition of water and lower levels of oat bran. The WHC can be observed to increase and cooking losses decrease with increased addition of soy flour (Dzudie et al., 2002). Sodium polyphosphate is known to act synergistically with NaCl, and increases the water holding capacity of different meat preparations (Huffman et al., 1981; Moore et al., 1976; Neer and Mandigo, 1972) as well as stabilizes color, increases binding among meat particles, and improves cooking yield (Rongey and Bratzler, 1996). As shown in Table 2 cooking loss of fish salami was 11% and the expressible value was determined as 11.12 %. Kerr et al. (2005) suggested that the presence of texture-modifying extenders may reduce binding among the proteins rather than the water binding property of the extenders. The influence of texture-modifying agents on hardness associated with the water binding property of the agents is complicated and remains in dispute. So in the production of fish salami 1.36 g/100g NaCl and 0.17 g/100g sodium phosphate was used and determined enough for a substantial product. But to equalize it with meat salami, these ratios should be increased. Pepper and Schmidt (1975) reported that a 2.0 g/100 g NaCl and 0.5 g/100 g sodium phosphate was an optimal combination for obtaining the highest cook yield in red meat product.

### Color results

Color is one of the sensory properties that can easily affect the consumer opinions. Visual appearance and color are important factors in consumer’s selection of food (Francis and Clydesdale 1975; Hutchings 1999). The colors of meat salamis occurred generally in red or pink colors. By using color additives, it was possible to make salamis with traditional meat salami color. In the study of Gimeno et al. (2000) color values of traditional meat sausage were determined as follows; for L* value 56.14, for a* value16.85 and for b* value 10.63. But in fish salami the L* value was determined 68.35, a* and b* values can be observed in Table 3. Big difference can be seen in redness (a*) value when compared with meat salami like the stated data of Gimeno et al. (2000). Fish salami was determined to be much less red and nearly same yellow. Similar color results can be seen in the study by Koizumi and Nonaka (1980).

### Sensory results

According to the results of sensorial evaluation for each attribute scores were observed higher than 8.0 points. The reported scores show the panel means values (Figure 1). An overall acceptability score of 5 was defined as the lower limit for acceptance. According to the results of overall acceptability,
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the panelist found the product in the range of “like extremely”. Although the taste of the products was different from meat salami, texture effects dominated the acceptability and the comparison of the product. Lee et al. (1976) reported a good correlation between textural properties and sensory attributes of frankfurters prepared by employing various formulations and processing parameters. This was also true for similar products prepared from chicken (Prusa et al., 1982). Shehata et al. (1989) declared that 6 % of added soy flour to fish protein gave the most favorable sensory scores but the soy flour content of the current study was 2.98 % and this content found favorable.

In a review article, Szczesniak (1963) argued that properly conducted evaluation tests should yield reliable correlations between instrumental and sensory measurements, especially, for hardness. Thus, instrumental hardness was highly correlated with sensory texture followed by over all acceptability. Acceptable textural desirability was obtained in the presence of 1.70% starch and 1.70% soy flour in the formulation and this was further improved with the addition of selected spices. But these textural properties should be strengthened if the product aimed to be just like meat salami. These kinds of emulsified products are very sensitive when it comes to consumer acceptance. Whereas other foods compete for consumer preference by, for example, innovating new products and flavors, meat is often graded just by its texture, a factor linked to its perceived quality. Texture and the appearance are the primary considerations made by a consumer in emulsified products. If the texture is too tough or too tender, or its texture has changed, perceptions of the product are normally affected.

Table 3. Color measurement result of fish and beef salamis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish Salami</td>
<td>68.35±0.42 a</td>
<td>9.78±0.14 a</td>
<td>10.64±0.14 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef salami</td>
<td>52.14±0.21 b</td>
<td>17.50±0.5 b</td>
<td>9.15±0.10 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscript letters in the same column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) n=10

Figure 1. Sensory results of overall acceptability

CONCLUSION

There are dozens types of salamis in the markets but main differences in their types depend on the changes in their texture and flavor. Types are produced due to the character and traditions of the countries in the world. Essentially most of the salamis are made from pork and meat but the finished product varies according to the kind of meat used and seasoning. But the most important factor for the consumer choice is the texture of the product. That was the reason why the current study based on the texture and the comparison. Research was containing fish salami production and comparison with the most preferred meat salami. Due to the reported results sustainable textural properties were determined for the consumers. Sensorial panel showed us that softer texture might be acceptable for fish salamis. This was the first report on fish salami produced with saithe (Polachius virens. L) in Turkey. In future studies shelf life of this product should be determined and different formulations should be denied.
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